In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

No. 125

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

v.

WILLIAM L. RANDALL, TRUSTEE

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

INDEX

	Page
Relevant docket entries:	
District Court	1
Court of Appeals	15
Referee's order authorizing debtor to remain in possession, filed August 24, 1967	17
Debtor's weekly reports:	
Week of 8/18/67 to 8/24/67	19
Week of 8/25/67 to 8/31/67	23
Week of 9/1/67 to 9/7/67	27
Week of 9/8/67 to 9/14/67	31
Referee's order of adjudication, filed November 22, 1967	36
Receiver's petition to hold debtor and its officers in contempt of court, filed December 11, 1967	36
Petition of United States to impose a special trust fund for taxes, filed December 22, 1967	37
Trustee's answer to petition of United States, filed December 22, 1967	38
Debtor's September report, filed December 28, 1967	39
Referee's order denying application for special trust fund, filed March 5, 1968	44
Petition for review by United States, filed April 5, 1968	45
Referee's certificate on petition for review, filed May 23, 1968	46
District Court memorandum and order, filed December 11, 1968	50
Notice of appeal, filed February 6, 1969	51
Opinion of the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, dated December 12, 1969	53
Judgment of the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, dated December 12, 1969	64
Order of the Supreme Court of the United States extending time to file petition for writ of certiorari, dated March 4, 1970	65
Order of the Supreme Court of the United States allowing certiorari, filed October 12, 1970	65

BANKRUPTCY DOCKET

73 Cred. Sched. Adjudicated: 11-21-67

NAME OF BANKRUPT/DEBTOR: HALO METAL PRODUCTS, INC.

DIST NO: 0752 | DIV NO: 1 | DOCKET NO: 67 B 6099

an Illinois corporation

CHAPTER OR SECTION: ~~Chapter XI~~

CHECK IF: X Voluntary; Involuntary; Fraud in Inception; X Corporate

DATE PETITION FILED: 8/18/67

DATE CLOSED:

OCCUPATION (Check one): Farmer; Laborer; Professional; Other (Non-business); Merchant; Manufacturer; X Other (Runner)

DISCHARGE: Granted; Denied; Waived or not applied for

DATE DISCHARGED:

ADDRESS OF BANKRUPT/DEBTOR (Number and Street): 750 N~~i~~cholas Blvd.

PETITION DISMISSED: DYES

DATE DISMISSED:

CHAPTER UNDER WHICH CASE WAS FILED OR DISMISSED:

NAME OF JUDGE:

CITY: Elk Grove | ZONE: | COUNTY: Cook | STATE: Ill.

NAME OF REFEREE: MC CORMICK

NO ASSET CASES ONLY — CLAIMS AS SCHEDULED

	TOTAL	PRIORITY	SECURED	UNSECURED
	$	$	$	$

	NAMES AND ADDRESSES
ATTORNEY FOR BANKRUPT OR DEBTOR	Herbert H. Victor 111 W. WashingtinnSt Fi 6-4034
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONING CREDITORS	
RECEIVER	William L. Randall, 9539 S. Jeffrey Blvd. Ba -1-3300
ATTORNEY FOR RECEIVER	Kevin J. Gillogly & Louis I. Kessler, 10 S. La Salle 100 W. Monroe De 2-0767 Fr 2-4127
TRUSTEE	~~Robert O. Malouch~~, William L. RAndall 9539 S.Jeffrey Blvd. Ba 1-3300
ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE	~~Herbert H. Victor 111 W. Washington Fi 6-4034~~ Kevin J. Gillogly, 10 S. La Salle, De 2-0767

CHARGES OF PRINCIPALS

Date	Proceedings
8/18/67	**Enter order, Leave to file corporate Re-organization; Chapter XI with 3 copies of required document, the balance to be filed within 5 days Napoli, Judge** **Notices mailed 8/18/67**
8/18/67	**Voluntary Petition, Schedules, Summary of debts and assets and Statement of Affairs under Chapter XI filed.** **Affidavit G.R. 39 filed.** **Designation filed**
	* * * *
9/11/67	Debtor's weekly report from 8/18/67 to 8/24/67 filed. k
8/24/67	It is ordered that the debtor corporation is authorized to remain in possession of its assets and effects until further order of this court, subject to the court's supervision and control. It is further ORDERED that the debtor shall file each month a report of operations. It is further ORDERED that the debtor shall keep separate books and records of its operations commencing 8/18/67 and continue same til further order of court. It is further ORDERED that the debtor shall maintain 3 separate bank accounts, (see draft for full particulars) It is further ORDERED that the debtor is authorized to retain Robert Halonen at the rate of $200.00 per week. Right is hereby reserved to make such other and further orders as may appear proper in the premises. (dft) (To Ref. McCormick) k

9/11/67 Petition of debtor for entry of an order directing said debtor to continue temporarily in possession and giving directions for the operation of its business, etc., filed. (To Ref. McCormick)

* * * * *

11/22/67 Petition of debtor for adjudication filed. k

11/22/67 It is ordered that Halo Metal Products, Inc., an Illinois Corporation is hereby adjudged a bankrupt under the Bankruptcy Act, as amended. It is further ORDERED that William L. Randall is appointed as Receiver, bond set at $500.00. (dft) k

11/22/67 On the Court's own motion the proceedings under Chapter XI filed herein on Aug. 18, 1967 is hereby dismissed and bankrupt be proceeded with pursuant to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Act. k

11/22/67 Order approving Receiver's bond. (dft) k

11/28/67 Petition of Receiver for leave to employ counsel filed. It is ordered that the Receiver is authorized to employ Kevin J. Gillogly and Louis I. Kessler to represent him; compensation to await further order of Court. (dft) k

11/28/67 Notice filed.

Petition of Receiver for sale of property filed. It is ordered that the Receiver is authorized to employ William T. Sullivan as appraiser; compensation to await further order of court. It is further ORDERED that the Receiver is directed to offer for sale on solicitation of and return of bids all of the assets of the bankrupt

located at 750 Nicholas Drive, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, consisting of chattels customarily and ordinarily used in the processing of metal products, including machinery inventory and office supplies and equipment, all is more particularly set forth in the Receiver's Inventory to be filed herein, bids returnable on Dec. 8, 1967 at 11:00 A.M.; said assets shall be offered for sale first in bulk and piece meal lots at that time to the highest and best bidders for cash; in the event that no satisfactory bids are received the Receiver is authorized to dispose of the assets at public or private sale without further notice to creditors but subject to the further approval of this Court.

It is further ORDERED that all persons having or claiming to have any lines, etc., against any of the assets are directed to show cause and to assert and prove up their interest on Dec. 8, 1967 at 10:30 AM., why the assets should not be sold free and clear of liens, etc.

It is further ORDERED that ten days notice in writing be given to all known creditors of the aforementioned. (dft) k

* * * * *

12/ 8/67 Hearing on lien claimants, if any, to snow cause why assets should not be sold held and adj. sine die.

Hearing on return of bids—sale of assets held and adj. sine die.

ORDERED that the offer of American Auction & Associates, Inc. in the amount of ($1600.00) is hereby approved and William L. Randall, Receiver, be and is hereby authorized and directed to accept said bid, and; That the Re-

ceiver's inventory as filed herein will stand in lieu of a Bill of Sale for the Receiver's right, title and interest to the property listed therein. (dft) a

12/11/67 Notice filed.
Petition of Receiver for rule to show cause filed.
ORDERED that the bankrupt herein, Halo Metal Products, Inc. and Robert G. Halonen appear on January 4, 1968 at 11:00 A.M. and at that time show cause why they or each of them should not be held in contempt of Court for failure to comply with the previous order of this Court, entered August 24, 1967 requiring the filing of written reports while debtor in possession. (dft) a

12/18/67 First meeting of creditors after adjudication held. Bankrupt examined. No creditors present.
Ordered: All claims allowed pro tempore, objections to be filed in 10 days: notice of filing objections to be served on claimant by mail or on local attorney at once: Court appoint: Wm. Randall trustee, bond $1,500.00 (dft)
meeting adjourned. sine die. k

12/20/67 Petition of appraiser for fees filed.
Oath of appraiser filed
It is ordered that the Trustee pay to William T. Sullivan the sum of $35.00 (dft) k

12/20/67 Order Approving Trustee's Bond. (Dft)

* * * * *

12/22/67 Notice filed.
Petition of United States for order allowing a special fund in trust for the petitioner filed. (To Ref. McCormick)
Enter and continue to 1/23/68 at 11.00 A.M. k

12/22/67 Answer of Trustee to petition of U.S. Filed. (To Ref. McCormick) k

12/28/67 Debtor's September report of disbursemen's and receipts filed. k

12/28/67 Debtor's October report of disbursements & receipts filed. k

1/ 2/68 Government's reply to answer of Trustee filed. (To Ref. McCormick) k

1/ 4/68 Hearing on order on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen to appear and show cause why they should not be held in contempt for failure to file written reports while debtor in possession held.
Hearing adjourned to 1/12/68 at 11:00 A.M.

1/ 4/68 Notice filed. k
Petition of Trustee for leave to employ counsel filed.
It is ordered that the Trustee is authorized to employ Kevin J. Gillogly as his attorney; compensation to await further order of Court. (dft)
k

1/12/68 Adjourned Hearing on Order to appear and show cause why bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen should not be held in contempt of court for failure to comply with order of Aug. 24, 1967 re written reports held.
Hearing adj. to Jan. 19, 1968 at 11:00 a.m.
ht

1/19/68 Adjourned hearing on order on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen should not be held in contempt for failure to file required written report held.
Hearing adjourned to 2/16/68 at 11:00 A.M.
k

* * * * *

1/23/68 Brief in support of Trustee's reply filed. k

1/23/68 Hearing on petition of U.S. for order allowing a special fund in trust held.
Under advisement. Briefs filed.
Hearing adjourned to 2/20/68 at 11:00 A.M.
k

2/16/68 Adj. hearing on rule to show cause on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen why they should not be held in contempt for failure to comply with order entered Aug. 24, 1967 held.
Hearing adj. to March 1, 1968 at 11:00 a.m.
ht

2/20/68 Adj. hearing on Petition of United States of America for Order allowing a Special Fund in Trust held.
Hearing adj. to March 5, 1968 at 11:00 a.m.
ht

3/ 1/68 Adjourned hearing on rule on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen should not be held in contempt for failure to file written reports held.
Hearing adjourned to 3/15/68 at 11:00 A.M.
k

3/ 4/68 Final monthly report of debtor for 1/11/67 through 11/23/67 filed. k

3/ 5/68 Adjourned hearing on petition of U.S. for order allowing a special fund in trust held.
Hearing adjourned sine die.
It is ordered that all funds deposited by the debtor-in-possession for the payment of U.S. taxes be paid to the Internal Revenue Service not to exceed the sum of $1,075.02.
It is further ORDERED that the application for the imposition of a special trust fund on all other assets of the estate in the amount of $1,075.02 is denied. (dft) k

3/ 8/68 Motion of U.S. to extend time to 30 days to file its petition for review filed.
It is ordered that the period for filing a petition for review, on behalf of the U.S.A. pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sec. 67(c) be extended 30 days to April 5, 1968. (dft) k

3/13/68 Petition of attorney for bankrupt for fees filed. (To Ref. McCormick) k

3/15/68 Motion of Court to set 21a exam of Mr. Halonen for 4/2/68 at 10:00 A.M. k

3/15/68 Adjourned hearing on order on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen should not be held in contempt for failure to file written reports held. Hearing adjourned to 4/15/68 at 11:00 AM.

4/ 1/68 Notice filed. k
Application of Trustee for surcharge—asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 filed. (To Ref. McCormick)
Enter and continue to 4/2/68 at 10:00 AM. k
Leave to file ans. in 10 days, 5 days to reply

4/ 2/68 Hearing on application of Trustee for surcharge—asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 held.
Hearing adjourned to 4/29/68 at 11:00 A.M. k

4/ 2/68 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held and adj. to 4/29/68 at 11 A.M. k

4/ 5/68 Petition of U.S. Attorney for review of order dated 3/5/68 filed. k

4/15/68 Adjourned hearing on order on bankrupt and Robert G. Halonen re contempt for failure to file written reports held.
It is ordered that the rule heretofore entered is discharged. (dft) k

4/29/68 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for surcharge asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 held.
Hearing adjourned to 6/3/68 at 11:00 AM.
k

Leave to file answer in additional 30 days hearing set for 6/3/68 at 11:00 A.M. Ref. McCormick
k

4/29/68 Adjourned 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held.
Exam adjourned to 6/3/68 at 11 A.M. k

5/23/68 Certificate of Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy on petition for review of order entered on March 5, 1968 filed. k

5/23/68 Certificate of Referee McCormick filed on 5/23/68 assigned to Judge Campbell according to the rules. k

5/23/68 Notice mailed pursuant to Rule 26 D of the Bankruptcy Rules. k

5/27/68 Certificate on petition for review is taken by the Court pursuant to Rule 13. Rule on all parties to file their briefs. Parties granted 5-10 and 5 days for briefs in support and in opposition.—Campbell, J.
Mailed notices 5/27/68 k

6/ 3/68 Adjourned 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held.
Exam adjourned to 6/10/68 at 2 P.M. k

6/ 3/68 Notice filed.
Motion filed.
Government granted until 6/30/68 to file their brief pursuant to Rule 26 E—Campbell, J.
Mailed notices 6/3/68 k

6/ 3/68 Answer of bankrupt to petition for surcharge filed. k

6/ 3/68 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for surcharge asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 held.
Hearing adjourned to 6/10/68 at 2:00 P.M.
k

6/10/68 Adjourned 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held.
Exam adjourned to 6/11/68 at 2 P.M. k

•••

6/11/68 Adjourned 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held.
Exam adjourned to 7/26/68 at 11 AM. k

•••

6/10/68 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for surcharge asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 held.
Hearing adjourned to 6/11/68 at 2 P.M. k

6/11/68 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for surcharge asking Herbert H. Victor to turn over $300.00 held.
Hearing adjourned sine die.
It is ordered that Herbert H. Victor is directed to turn over to the Trustee the sum of $300.00 to be paid in equal amounts, 30 days, 60 days and 90 days from the date of the entry of this order. (dft)

6/28/68 Memorandum in support of the Government's petition for review filed. (To Judge Campbell)
k

7/ 3/68 Filed Trustee's memorandum in response to government's petition for review. (To Judge Campbell) k

7/22/68 Notice filed.
Motion filed.

It is ordered that the United States reply to the Trustee's memorandum of law within 30 days. (DRAFT)—Perry, J.
Mailed notices 7/23/68 k

7/26/68 Adjourned Hearing re 21 A Examination of Mr. Halonen held.
Hearing adjourned to October 9, 1968 at 11:00 A.M. mh

8/22/68 Filed Government's reply to trustee's memorandum of law in response to government's petition for review. (to J. Campbell) v

10/ 9/68 Adjourned 21a exam of Mr. Halonen held.
Exam adjourned to 11/18/68 at 11 A.M. k

12/11/68 Filed memorandum and order.

12/11/68 The order of the referee in bankruptcy here certified for review should be and the same is hereby affirmed.—(DRAFT)—Campbell, J.
Mailed notices 12/13/68 k

2/ 6/69 Filed Notice of Appeal by U.S. Atty. k

2/ 6/69 Mailed copy of notice of appeal to Kevin J. Gillogly and Herbert H. Victor k

* * * * *

2/28/69 Notice filed.
Application of Trustee for rule to show cause why Robert Halonen should not be held in contempt of Court for Diversion of funds while debtor-in-possession under Chapter XI filed.
Enter leave to file. 20 days to answer
Set for hearing on March 28, 1969 at 11 A.M.

2/28/69 Notice filed. k
Application of Trustee for rule to show cause why Herbert Victor should not be held in contempt of Court filed.

Enter leave to file. 20 days to answer
Set for hearing on March 28, 1969 at 11 A.M.
k

3/17/69 Notice filed.
Government's motion to extend time to file and docket record on appeal filed.
Enter order, government's motion to extend time to file and docket record on appeal to May 17, 1969. (DRAFT)—Campbell, J.
Mailed notices 3/17/69 k

3/28/69 Hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 4/18/69 at 11 A.M. k

3/28/69 Hearing on application of Trustee for order on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 4/18/69 at 11 A.M. k

3/28/69 Motion of trustee for rule to show cause on Herbert H. Victor and Robert Halonen re hearings on contempt
Order of Court 15 days to answer
Hearing set for 4/18/69 at 11 A.M. without further notice. Ref. McCormick k

4/15/69 Response of bankrupt to application of Trustee filed. (To Ref. McCormick) k

4/18/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 5/14/69 at 11 A.M. k

4/18/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for order on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 5/14/69 at 11 A.M. k

5/ 5/69 Filed stipulation pursuant to the Rules of the United States Court of Appeals. k

5/ 6/69 Certified and transmitted to U.S.C.A., 7th Circuit, Record on Appeal as requested by appellant. $10.90

5/14/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.
Meeting adjourned to 5/22/69 at 11:00 a.m.
jwh

5/14/68 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 5/22/69 at 11:00 a.m.
jwh

5/22/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.
Meeting adjourned to 5/29/69 at 11:00 a.m.
jwh

5/22/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 5/29/69 at 11:00 a,m,
jwh

5/29/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.

Hearing adjourned to 6/4/69 at 11:00 a.m. ORDERED that Robert G. Halonen is to pay to Trustee the sum of $480.93 on or before 6/3/69. That Robert G. Halonen is to appear 6/3/69 at 11.00 a.m. to show cause why he

should not be held in contempt if payment has not been made. (dft) McCORMICK, R.
jwh

5/29/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 6/4/69 at 11:00 a.m.
ORDERED application against Herbert Victor to comply with a surcharge order is dismissed.
(dft) McCORMICK, R. jwh

6/ 4/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Robert Halonen to show cause why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned to 6/9/69 at 11:00 a.m.
jwh

6/ 4/69 Adjourned hearing on application of Trustee for rule on Herbert Victor why he should not be held in contempt held.
Hearing adjourned sine die. jwh

* * * * *

RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Date	
5/7/69	Filed original record on appeal.
*	* * * * *
12/12/69	Filed opinion by Judge Hastings.
12/12/69	Entered judgment affirming, with costs.
*	* * * * *

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

ORDER
(Filed August 24, 1967)

Upon reading and filing the petition of debtor corporation for relief under Chapter XI of the Bankruptcy Act, and it appearing to the best interest of all parties in interest that debtor be permitted to remain in possession of the assets and effects herein involved, and that debtor's business should be operated and carried on in an ordinary course until further order of this court, on motion of Herbert H. Victor, attorney for debtor, and good cause therefore appearing:

It is ordered:

1. That debtor corporation is authorized to remain in possession of its assets and effects and carry on its business in ordinary course until further order of this court, subject to the court's supervision and control.

2. That debtor corporation shall file each month a report of debtor's operations, which report shall contain a summary of all cash receipts and disbursements and of all obligations incurred, the amount of sales on credit, the amount of any accounts discounted, the amount of any accounts receivable collected, and profit made or loss sustained.

3. That debtor shall keep separate books and records of its operations commencing August 18, 1967, and continue the same until further order of this court.

4. That debtor immediately make arrangements to have its gas, water, electricity, and telephone charges segregated, as nearly as may be, as of date of this order, and to keep the same separate henceforth until otherwise ordered.

5. That debtor in possession immediately open three distinct bank accounts with the Bank of Lincolnwood. The first shall be designated the Halo Metal Products, Inc., Debtor, account, and all income derived by debtor in possession shall be deposited therein. Disbursements therefrom shall be made only upon checks signed by Robert G. Halonen and countersigned by Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy, or such other referee in bankruptcy of this court as may be handling these proceedings. The second bank account shall be designated Halo Metal Products, Inc., Payroll Account, and to such account shall be transferred from time to time, as required, the funds to meet the payroll. Checks against the payroll account may be issued from time to time, to pay salaries, wages, and compensation for services or reimbursement for expense of officers, agents, and employees, signed by Robert G. Halonen and after the payroll, showing all such items to be paid, to whom, and the tax, social security, and other deductions, has been presented to and approved by Charles B. McCormick Referee in Bankruptcy, or such other referee in bankruptcy as may be handling these proceedings. The third account shall be known as the Halo Metal Products, Inc., Tax Account; and to such account shall be transferred at the time of each transfer to the payroll account, by check signed and countersigned in like manner, the amount of tax and social security withholdings indicated by the payroll, and such amount as may be required to keep up the unemployment compensation fund contributions of the debtor. Withdrawals from this latter fund shall be made only for payment of the tax and welfare obligations aforesaid,

as the same become due upon check signed by Robert G. Halonen.

Any funds now held under trust account to pay taxes and welfare obligations as next above indicated shall be transferred to the tax account, to be and become part thereof; and debtor, while in possession and operating the business pursuant to this order, is charged with making all tax and other returns called for by law.

6. That until further order of this court, debtor is authorized to retain and employ as its officers and agents the following: Robert Halonen—$200.00 per week.

Right is hereby reserved to make such other and further orders as may appear proper in the premises.

Dated at Chicago, Illinois this 24th day of August, 1967.

/s/ C. McCormick
Referee in Bankruptcy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

WEEKLY REPORT
(Filed September 11, 1967)

Date of filing Corporate Arrangement—August 18, 1967.

Report from August 18, 1967 to August 24, 1967.

A. PAYROLL—August 18, 1967 to August 24, 1967:

Name	U.S. Income Tax	Social Sec. Tax	Net Check	Gross Check
1. Theodore E. Wenger	0	$ .72	$ 15.53	$ 16.25
2. Martin John Spak	3.20	1.12	21.06	25.38
3. Heinz Dalibozar	58.10	15.40	276.50	350.00
4. Robert Binning	20.90	8.80	170.30	200.00
Total Payroll Gross				$591.63

Total Net Payroll Checks	$483.39	
Total U.S. Income Tax withheld; deposited in temporary Trust Account	82.20	
Total Social Security Tax deposited in temporary Trust Account	26.04	
Total Disbursement	$591.63	$591.63

B. CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1. Monroe International	Rental of Calculator	$ 49
2. Joe Strzelecki	Shop Supplies	11
3. Petty Cash		22
4. John J. Garrity	Accountant	35
5. Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Heat Treating	23
6. U.S. Steel Supply Co.	Material	228
Total Expenses For Period Of Report		$368

Note: In the absence of Court authority, the corporation functioned with its existing checking account.

C. INCOME RECEIVED FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1. Ordnance Engineering Asso., Inc.	$212.40
2. Crane Packing Company	578.94
Total Income For Period Of Report	$791.34

CASH RECAPITULATION

A. Total Disbursement for Payroll and withholding for period of report		$591.63
B. Total Expenses for period of report		368.55
C. Total Income for period of report	$791.34	
Deficit of operational expenses for period of report		$168.84

Note: Cor_ tion had cash in its Corporate Checking Account as of August 14, 1967.

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE RECEIVED IN PERIOD OF REPORT AND NOT YET PAID

Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Supplies	$ 11.42
Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Supplies	25.53
F. Landon Cartage	Freight	5.00
Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Heat Treating	5.00
Simplex Time Recorder Co.	Time Cards & Rack	19.20
Supreme Chemical Co., Inc.	Cleaning Supplies	24.67
Supreme Chemical Co., Inc.	Sanitation Service	18.90
U.S. Steel Supply Co.	Material	58.25
U.S. Steel Supply Co.	Material	169.61
Vetcoa Midwest	Shop Supplies	5.20
Total Accounts Payable Received in Period of Report		$342.78

E. WORK IN PROCESS, IN SHOP FOR PERIOD OF REPO

1.	Northern Signal Co.	# 1697	$ 41
2.	Motor Wheel Corporation	# G. P. 20185	17
3.	Motor Wheel Corporation	# G. P. 20183	24
4.	Ordnance Engineering Asso., Inc.	# 10901	7,99
5.	International Harvester Co.	# CE5561-HA-3A	14
6.	International Harvester Co.	# CE44722-HW-3A	17
7.	International Harvester Co.	# CE5929-HD-8X	79
8.	International Harvester Co.	# CE5443-HW-3A	17
9.	International Harvester Co.	# BP-1136 "A"	31
10.	International Harvester Co.	# CE75795-XJ	18
11.	International Harvester Co.	# Job No. 013	20
	Total Work In Process		$10,82

F. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE OUTSTANDING AS OF PERIOD OF REPORT

As per Schedule B-3 in petition filed herein	$6,590.68
Total Receivables Outstanding	$6,590.68

GROSS RECAPITULATION

Deficit of operating expense for period of report		$168.84
D. Accounts Payable Received in period of report		342.78
E. Work in Process for period of report	$10,822.00	
F. Accounts Receivable Outstanding for period of report	$ 6,590.68	
	$17,412.68	$511.62
NET OPERATING POSITION OF CORPORATION as of August 24, 1967.	$16,901.06	

Respectfully Submitted
Halo Metal Products, Inc.
By: /s/ Robert G. Halonen
President

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

WEEKLY REPORT
(Filed September 26, 1967)

Date of filing Corporate Arrangement—August 18, 1967.
Report from August 25, 1967 to August 31, 1967:

A. PAYROLL—August 25, 1967 to August 31, 1967:

Name	Income Tax	U.S. Social Sec. Tax	Net Check	Gross Check
1. James B. Binning	$20.50	$10.41	$205.69	$236.60
2. Ed Vogt	18.80	4.93	88.27	112.00
3. Sam Matthews	7.50	5.28	97.22	110.00
4. Theodore E. Wenger	1.10	5.72	123.18	130.00
5. Rick Englebrecht	30.70	10.73	202.32	243.75
6. Martin Spak	8.50	2.46	45.04	56.00
7. Heinz Dalibozar	58.10	15.40	276.50	350.00
8. Sam Matthews	14.10	7.06	139.34	160.50

Total Payable Gross Income $1,398.85

Total Net Payroll Checks $1,177.56

Total U.S. Income Tax withheld; deposited in temporary Trust Account 159.30

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

Total Social Security Tax deposited in temporary Trust Account	61.99	
Total Disbursement	$1,398.85	$1,398.85

B. CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1. Coca Cola Bottling Co.	Pop Machine	$ 16.3
2. Villa	Shop Supplies	19.0
Total Expenses for Period of Report		$ 35.3

C. INCOME RECEIVED FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1. U.S. Steel Corporation	8/25/67	$ 69.08
2. International Harvester Co.	8/28/67	113.95
3. Crane Packing Co.	8/28/67	29.75
Total Income For Period Of Report		$212.78

CASH RECAPITULATION

A. Total Disbursement for Payroll and withholding for period of report		$1,398.85
B. Total Expenses for period of report		35.26
C. Total Income for period of report	$212.78	
Deficit of operational expenses for period of report		$1,221.33

Note: Corporation had cash in its Corporate Checking Account as of August 14, 1967.

D. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE RECEIVED IN PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Kafar Printing	Office Supplies	$ 13.59
2.	Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Supplies	24.09
3.	Ultra Matic Equipment Co.	Shop Supplies	82.95
4.	J. R. Gottlieb Co.	Water	3.92
		Heat	8.90
	Total Accounts Payable Received in Period of Report		$133.45

E. WORK IN PROCESS, IN SHOP for PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Northern Signal Co.	# 1697	$ 415.00
2.	Motor Wheel Corporation	GP 20185	176.00
3.	Motor Wheel Corporation	GP 20183	245.00
4.	Ordnance Engineering Asso. Inc.	01901	7,990.00
5.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5561-HA-3A	140.00
6.	International Harvester Co.	CE 4472-HW-3A	178.00
7.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5929-HD-8X	792.00
8.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5443-HW-3A	175.00
9.	International Harvester Co.	BP 1136-"A"	158.00
10.	International Harvester Co.	CE 757957	189.00
11.	International Harvester Co.	CE 75835 XJ	207.00
12.	International Harvester Co.	CE 7667 XJ	235.00
13.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76700XJ	40.00
14.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76699XJ	65.00
15.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76696XJ	135.00
16.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76698XJ	40.00
	Total Work In Process		$11,180.00

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

E.' NEW ORDERS

1.	International Harvester Co.	CE 7667XJ	$ 235.00
2.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76700XJ	40.00
3.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76699XJ	65.00
4.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76696XJ	135.00
5.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76698XJ	40.00
	Total New Orders		$ 515.00

F. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE OUSTANDING as of PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	International Harvester Co.	8/31/67	157.00
2.	Plus—As per Schedule B-3 in petition		6,590.68
	Total Receivables Outstanding		$6,747.68

GROSS RECAPITULATION

	Deficit of operating expense for period of report		$1,221.33
D.	Accounts Payable Received in Period of Report		133.45
E.	Work in Process for Period of Report	11,180.00	
F.	New Orders	515.00	
G.	Accounts Receivable Outstanding for period of report	6,747.68	
		$18,442.68	$1,354.78

NET OPERATING POSITION OF CORPORATION as of August 31, 1967 $17,087.90

Respectfully Submitted
Halo Metal Products, Inc.
By: /s/ Robert G. Halonen
President

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

WEEKLY REPORT

(Filed September 26, 1967)

Date of filing Corporate Arrangement—August 18, 1967.
Report from September 1, 1967 to September 7, 1967.

A. PAYROLL—September 1, 1967 to September 7, 1967:

Name	U.S. Income Tax	Social Sec. Tax	Net Check	Gross Check
1. Heinz Dalibozar	$58.10	$15.40	$276.50	$350.00
2. Richard Dale Englebrecht	30.70	10.73	202.32	243.75
3. Martin Spak	9.60	2.81	51.47	63.88
4. Theodore E. Wenger	.40	5.51	119.22	125.13

Total Gross Payable $782.76

Total Net Payroll Checks $649.51

Total U. S. Income Tax withheld; deposited in temporary Trust Account 98.80

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

Total Social Security Tax deposited in temporary Trust Account	34.45	
Total Disbursement	$782.76	$782.76

B. CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Robert K. Binning	June Expenses	15
2.	Chicago Metal Mfg. Co.	Material	10
3.	Driscoll Co.	Plating	1
4.	Steel Sales Corp.	Material	30
	Total Expenses For Period of Report		$58

C. INCOME RECEIVED FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Crane Packing Co.	9/5/67	123.76
2.	Ordnance Engineering Asso., Inc.	9/6/67	749.10
3.	Steel Sales Corporation	9/6/67	1,064.16
	Total Income For Period Of Report		$1,937.02

CASH RECAPITULATION

A. Total Disbursement for Payroll and withholding for period of report		$782.76
B. Total Expenses for period of report		582.63
C. Total Income for period of report	1,937.02	
Deficit of operational expenses for period of report		$561.33

Note: Corporation had cash in its Corporate Checking Account as of August 14, 1967.

D ACCOUNTS PAYABLE RECIVED IN PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Aaron Engravers	Shop services	22.50
2.	Adel Electric & Auto Supply Co., Inc.	Shop Services	6.13
3.	Aaron Engravers	Shop Services	45.25
4.	Associated Services	Office Supplies	12.00
5.	J. R. Gottlieb & Co.	Rent	750.00
6.	Illinois Bell Telephone Co.	Telephone Bill	234.84
7.	Lee Supply & Tool Co.	Shop Supplies	16.59
8.	Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Shop Services	5.00
9.	Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Shop Services	38.70
10.	United States Steel Supply Corp.	Material	36.26
11.	United States Steel Supply Corp.	Material	30.74
	Total Accounts Payable in Period of Report		$1,198.01

E'. WORK IN PROCESS, IN SHOP for PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Lift Parts Mfg. Inc.	Job No. 021	299.00
2.	Lift Parts Mfg. Inc.	Job No. 022	55.00
3.	Northern Signal Co.	P.O. # 1697	415.00
4.	Motor Wheel Corp.	P.O. # GP20185	176.00
5.	Motor Wheel Corp.	P.O. # GP20183	245.00
6.	Ordnance Eng. Asso., Inc.	P.O. # 01901	6,741.90
7.	International Harvester Co.	CE 4472-HW-3A	178.00
8.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5929-HD-8X	792.00
9.	International Harvester Co.	BP 1136-"A"	158.00
10.	International Harvester Co.	CE 757957	189.00

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

11.	International Harvester Co.	CE 7667XJ	235.00
12.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76700XJ	40.00
13.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76699XJ	65.00
14.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76676 XJ	135.00
15.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76698XJ	40.00
16.	International Harvester Co.	Job No. 019	975.00
17.	International Harvester Co.	Job No. 020	57.50
	Total Work In Process		$11,016.49

E'. NEW ORDERS

1.	Lift Parts Mfg. Co.	Job No. 021 9/7/67	299.00
2.	Lift Parts Mfg. Co.	Job No. 022 9/7/67	55.00
3.	International Harvester Co.	Job No. 019 9/7/67	975.00
4.	International Harvester Co.	Job No. 020 9/7/67	57.50
5.	Signode Corporation		220.00
	Total New Orders		$1,606.50

F. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE OUSTANDING as of PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	International Harvester Co.	9/1/67	207.00
2.	International Harvester Co.	9/1/67	266.00
3.	Ordnance Eng. Asso. Inc.	9/7/67	1,407.40
4.	Ordnance Eng. Asso. Inc.	9/7/67	1,066.90
5.	International Harvester Co.	9/7/67	221.62
	Total Receivable Outstanding		$3,168.92

GROSS RECAPITULATION

Deficit of operating expense for period of report		$ 582.63
D. Accounts Payable Received in Period of Report		$1,198.01
E. Work in Process for Period of Report	$10,796.00	
F. New Orders	1,606.50	
G. Accounts Receivable Outstanding for Period of Report	3,168.92	
	15,571.42	1,780.64
NET OPERATING POSITION OF CORPORATION as of September 7, 1967	$13,790.78	

Respectfully Submitted
Halo Metal Products, Inc.
By: /s/ Robert G. Halonen
President

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

WEEKLY REPORT
(Filed September 26, 1967)

Date of filing Corporate Arrangement—August 18, 1967.
Report from September 8, 1967 to September 14, 1967

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

A. PAYROLL—September 8, 1967 to September 14, 1967:

Name	U.S. Income Tax	Social Sec. Tax	Net Check	Gross Check
1. Richard Dale Englebrecht	$30.70	$10.73	$202.32	$243.75
2. Martin Spak	10.60	3.06	55.90	69.56
3. Robert K. Binning	30.00	11.00	209.00	250.00
4. Robert G. Halonen	16.40	8.50	174.80	199.70
Total Payroll Gross				$763.01
Total Net Payroll Checks			$642.02	
Total U.S. Income Tax withheld; deposited in temporary Trust Account			87.70	
Total Social Security Tax deposited in temporary Trust Account			33.29	
Total Disbursement			$763.01	$763.01

B. CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES FOR PERIOD O REPORT

1. Paul A. Kenyon	Salesman Expenses	163
2. Halo Metal Special Account	Tax Escrow	300.0
3. Robert G. Halonen	Expenses	280.9
4. Petty Cash		20.0
Total Expenses For Peeriod Of Report		$617.9

C. INCOME RECEIVED FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	International Harvester Co.	9/13/67	$265.05
2.	International Harvester Co.	9/14/67	156.71
3.	Ordnance Eng. Asso. Inc.	9/14/67	45.40
TOTAL INCOME FOR PERIOD OF REPORT			$467.16

CASH RECAPITULATION

A. Total Disbursement for Payroll and withholding for period of report		$763.01
B. Total Expenses for period of report		617.28
C. Total Income for period of report	$467.16	
DEFICIT of operational expenses for period of report		$913.13

NOTE: Corporation had cash in its Corporate Checking Account as of August 14, 1967.

ACCOUNT PAYABLE RECEIVED IN PERIOD OF REPORT ON

Aaron Engravers	Shop Services	92.00
Acme Inc.	Shop Services	30.00
Adel Electric & Supply Co.	Shop Services	15.43
Commonwealth Edison Co.	Utilities	288.17
Great Lakes Tool Service	Shop Services	18.00
Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Material	29.56
Lipomi Co.	Shop Services	20.00
Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Shop Services	39.41

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

9.	Magnetic Inspection Laboratory	Shop Services	8
10.	Rainbow Electric Co. Inc.	Shop Services	62
11.	Signode	Shop Supplies	44
12.	United States Steel Supply	Shop Supplies	5
13.	United States Steel Supply	Shop Supplies	58
14.	United States Steel Supply	Shop Supplies	169
15.	Van Der Molen	Disposal Co.	10
	TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE		$891

Received in Period of Report

E.[1] WORK IN PROCESS, IN SHOP for PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Addison Pipe & Supply	Job # 026	250
2.	International Harvester Co.	CE 77910 XJ	120
3.	International Harvester Co.	CE77909 XJ	110
4.	International Harvester Co.	CE44722HW3A	178
5.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5929 HD 8X	792
6.	International Harvester Co.	BP 1136"A"	158
7.	International Harvester Co.	CE 75794XJ	189
8.	International Harvester Co.	CE 76697XJ	235
9.	International Harvester Co.	CE6909HD5N	57
10.	International Harvester Co.	Ce 76696 XJ	135
11.	International Harvester Co.	CE 5997 HD 5T	973
12.	Lift Parts Mfg. Inc.	53126	299
13.	Motor Wheel Corp.	GP 20185	176
14.	Motor Wheel Corp.	GP 20183	245
15.	Northern Signal Co.	1697	415
16.	Ordnance Eng. Asso. Inc.	10901	4,098
17.	Signode Corp.	CT 44416	216
18.	Signode Corp.	CT 44636	424
	TOTAL WORK IN PROCESS		$9,667

E. NEW ORDERS

1. Addison Pipe & Supply	Job # 026	$ 250.00
2. International Harvester Co.	CE 77910 Xj	120.00
3. International Harvester Co.	CE 77909 SJ	110.00
4. Signode Corp.	CT 44416	216.00
5. Signode Corp.	CT 44636	424.00
	TOTAL NEW ORDERS	$1,120.00

F. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE OUTSTANDING for PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	Lift Parts Mfg. Co.	9/11/67	$ 55.00
2.	International Harvester Co.	9/14/67	40.00
3.	International Harvester Co.	9/14/67	40.00
4.	Ordnance Eng. Asso. Inc.	9/14/67	2,043.00
	TOTAL RECEIVABLES OUTSTANDING		$2,178.00

GROSS RECAPITULATION

DEFICIT of operating expense for period of report		$ 617.28
D. Accounts Payable Received in Period of Report		891.31
E. Work in Process for period of report	$9,667.40	
F. Accounts Receivable Outstanding for period of report	2,178.00	
	11,845.40	1,508.59
NET OPERATING POSITION OF CORPORATION as of September 14, 1967.	$10,336.81	

Respectfully Submitted
HALO METAL PRODUCTS, INC.
BY: /s/ Robert G. Halonen
President

COPY BOUND CLOSE IN CENTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION
(Filed November 22, 1967)

It appearing that a Chapter XI proceedings was filed herein on August 18, 1967 and the Debtor being unable to carry out the Plan of Arrangement; and

That the Debtor consents to be adjudged a bankrupt on November 21, 1967, now, therefore;

It Is Ordered That Halo Metal Products, Inc., an Illinois Corporation, be and it is hereby adjudged a bankrupt under the Bankruptcy Act, as amended.

It Is Further Ordered and I hereby appoint William L. Randall of Chicago, Illinois as Receiver of the estate of Halo Metal Products, Inc., and fix the amount of his bond at $500 (Five Hundred) Dollars.

/s/ C. B. McCormick
Referee in Bankruptcy

Dated: November 21, 1967

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

PETITION
(Filed December 11, 1967)

To: The Honorable Charles B. McCormick,
Referee in Bankruptcy:

Now comes William L. Randall, Receiver in the aforenamed estate and represents to this Honorable Court as follows:

1. That he is the duly appointed and acting Receiver in the abovenamed estate having qualified by filing his bond as approved by this Honorable Court.

2. That pursuant to his duties as Receiver he checked into the affairs of the bankrupt herein and determined that during the course of time that the bankrupt was operating as a debtor in possession under Chapter XI an order was entered requiring said debtor in possession to file monthly reports with the Court showing receipts and disbursements.

3. That there were some reports that were filed during the period of time from the filing of the Chapter XI petition up through September 14, 1967, but since that date there have been no reports filed.

4. That the debtor in possession is presently delinquent in its obligation to supply the Court with all the information necessary in compliance with the order heretofore entered by this Court on August 24, 1967.

5. That the best interests of the estate require that these reports be made in compliance with said order.

Wherefore, William L. Randall, Receiver herein, prays for an order entered on the bankrupt, Halo Metal Products, Inc., for a rule to show cause why it and its officers should not be held in contempt of this Court for failure to comply with the order and for such other relief as the Court shall deem meet and just.

/s/ William L. Randall
William L. Randall, Receiver

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division
[Caption Omitted]

PETITION
(Filed December 22, 1967)

Now comes Edward V. Hanrahan, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois and states:

1. That the United States has filed claims against this estate for taxes in the total amount of $2,718.95.

2. That of the total amount, the sum of $1,075.02 is for Withholding and Social Security taxes for the third quarter of 1967, a period during which the bankrupt operated as Debtor-in-Possession under the jurisdiction of the court.

3. That by virtue of 26 U.S.C. 7501, a special fund in trust for the benefit of the United States is imposed on the aforementioned $1,075.02 to be paid prior to costs of administration.

Wherefore, it is prayed that this court order the sum of $1,075.02 to be a special fund in trust in favor of the United States to be paid prior to costs of administration.

/s/ Edward V. Hanrahan
Edward V. Hanrahan,
United States Attorney.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division
[Caption Omitted]

ANSWER
(Filed December 22, 1967)

Now comes William L. Randall, Trustee in Bankruptcy in the aforenamed estate and respectfully represents to this Court as follows:

1. That he is the duly appointed and acting Trustee in Bankruptcy having qualified by filing his bond as approved by this Honorable Court.

2. That he has examined into the affairs of the estate of the bankrupt at the First Meeting of Creditors to determine through Robert G. Halonen the existence of any trust account set up for the purposes of paying taxes pursuant to an order entered under Chapter XI proceedings.

3. That the Trustee was advised upon examination of Mr. Halonen that a trust account was created in the Elk Grove State Bank, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, for the purposes of paying the taxes but that regular deposits were not made as required under order of Court.

4. That the Trustee is holding no funds of the bankrupt under any trust arrangement or agreement for the benefit of the United States of America or any person.

5. That 26 U.S.C. 7501 does not provide for the imposition of a trust on the assets of this bankrupt which has been reduced to cash by sale conducted by the Trustee herein.

Wherefore, William L. Randall, Trustee herein, prays that the petition for the imposition of a trust to the sum of One Thousand, Seventy-Five And No/100 ($1,075.00) Dollars prior to the costs of administration be denied and for such other relief as the Court shall deem meet and just.

/s/ William L. Randall
William L. Randall, Trustee

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District Of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption omitted]

SEPTEMBER REPORT
(Filed December 28, 1967)

Date of filing Corporate Arrangement—August 18, 1967.

Report from September 14, 1967 to September 30, 1967.

A. PAYROLL—September 14, 1967 to September 30, 1967:

	NAME	U.S. Income Tax	Social Sec. Tax	Net Check	Gross Check
1.	Heinz Dalibozar	$52.10	$14.40	$260.75	$ 327.25
2.	Rick Engelbrecht	28.70	10.21	193.14	232.05
3.	Robert K. Binning	30.00	11.00	209.00	250.00
4.	Robert G. Halonen	16.70	8.50	174.80	200.00
	TOTAL GROSS PAYABLE				$1,009.30
	TOTAL Net Payroll Checks			$ 837.69	
	TOTAL U.S. Income Tax withheld; deposited in temporary Trust Account			127.50	
	TOTAL Social Security Tax deposited in temporary Trust Account			44.11	
	TOTAL DISBURSEMENT			$1,009.30	$1,009.30

B. OPERATING EXPENSES FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

1.	ADDISON PIPE & SUPPLY CO.	Material	$ 35.58
2.	DRISCOLL AND COMPANY	Shop Services	9.00
3.	GREAT LAKES TOOL SERVICE	Shop Services	18.00
4.	JET FASTENER CORP.	Shop Services	17.82
5.	KNIVES AND SAWS INC.	Shop Supplies	129.15
6.	U.S. STEEL SUPPLY CORP.	Material	139.62
7.	U.S. STEEL SUPPLY CORP.	Material	201.44
8.	U.S. STEEL SUPPLY CORP.	Material	21.80
10.	COCA COLA BOTTLING CO.	Pop Machine	4.50
11.	INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	Material	74.77
12.	PETTY CASH	Returned Parts	3.30
			52.60
	TOTAL EXPENSES FOR Period of Report		$707.19

INCOME RECEIVED FOR PERIOD OF REPORT

CRANE PACKING CO.	9-16-67	$ 477.02
KOGEN ELECTRIC CO.	9-16-67	20.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	9-19-67	426.47
ORDNANCE ENGINEERING ASSO.	9-21-67	1,021.50
TOTAL INCOME FOR Period of Report		$1,944.99

CASH RECAPITULATION

A. Total Disbursement for Payroll and withholding for period of report		$1,009.30
B. Total expenses for period of report		707.18
C. Total Income for period of report	$1,944.99	
PROFIT of operational expenses for period of report		$ 228.51

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE received in Period of Report and not yet paid

Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Supplies	$15.00
Krivo Industrial Supply Co.	Shop Supplies	25.00
F. Landon Cartage	Freight	5.00
Lindberg Steel Treating Co.	Heat Treating	5.00
Supreme Chemical Co., Inc.	Cleaning Supplies	21.00
Supreme Chemical Co., Inc.	Sanitation Service	18.90
U.S. Steel Supply Co.	Material	42.25
Vetcoa Midwest	Shop Supplies	7.10
TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE Received in Period of Report		$139.25

E. WORK IN PROCESS in shop for Period of Report

NAME	JOB. NO.	AMOUNT
NORTHERN SIGNODE	1697	$ 415.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE44772-HW-3A	178.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE5929-HD-8X	792.00
ORDNANCE ENGINEERING ASSO.	10901	4,698.50
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE75795-XJ	189.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE76696 XJ	135.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE5997HD-5T	975.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE77909-XJ	110.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE77910-XJ	120.00
	TOTAL WORK IN PROCESS	$7,612.50

F. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE Outstanding for Period of Report

NAME	DATE	AMOUNT
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	9-18-67	$ 65.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	9-18-67	59.50
SIGNODE CORP.	9-18-67	216.00
MOTOR WHEEL CORP.	9-18-67	267.50
KOGEN ELECTRIC CO.	9-16-67	20.00
ADDISON PIPE & SUPPLY CO.	9-20-67	168.50
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	9-20-67	235.00
LIFT PARTS MFG. CO.	9-20-67	6.50
MOTOR WHEEL CORP.	9-21-67	242.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	9-15-67	133.50
	TOTAL RECEIVABLE OUTSTANDING	$1,445.75

G. NEW ORDERS for period of report

NAME	JOB NO.	AMOUNT
MAREMONT CORP.	68976	$325.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE79057-XJ	150.00
INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER	CE79082-XJ	120.00
REFLECTOR HARDWARE	0009663	131.25
TOTAL NEW ORDERS for period of report		$726.25

GROSS RECAPITULATION

PROFIT of operating expenses for period of report	$228.51	
D. Accounts Payable received for period of report		139.25
E. Work In Process for period of report	7,612.90	
E. Accounts Receivable Outstanding for period of report	1,445.78	
G. NEW ORDERS for period of report	$ 726.25	
	$10,013.44	$139.25
OPERATING POSITION OF CORPORATION as of September 30, 1967.	$9,874.19	

Respectfully Submitted

HALO METAL PRODUCTS, INC.
BY: /s/ Robert G. Halonen
President

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

ORDER
(Filed March 5, 1968)

At Chicago, Illinois, in said Division and District aforesaid before the Honorable Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy, this 5th day of March, A.D., 1968:

This matter coming on to be heard on the petition of Edward V. Hanrahan, United States Attorney, for the reclamation of $1,075.02 as a special trust fund arising out of the debtor in possession during the Chapter XI proceedings and upon the answer of William L. Randall, Trustee; the Court having jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter and being fully advised in the premises as enunciated in the supporting briefs filed herein by both parties;

It Is Hereby Ordered, Adjudged And Decreed as follows:

1. That all funds deposited by the debtor-in-possession for the payment of U.S. taxes be paid to the Internal Revenue Service not to exceed the sum of $1,075.02.

2. That the application for the imposition of a special trust fund on all other assets of the estate in the amount of $1,075.02, is denied.

Enter:
/s/ C. McCormick
Referee in Bankruptcy
[Caption Omitted]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

PETITION FOR REVIEW
(Filed April 5, 1968)

To The Honorable Judges Of The
United States District Court
For The Northern District Of Illinois:

Now comes Thomas A. Foran, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, and represents:

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by the order herein entered by the Honorable Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy, on March 5, 1968; a copy of which order is attached hereto and marked Exhibit "A".

2. On March 8, 1968, the Honorable Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy, extended the time in which the United States may file a petition to review the order of March 5, 1968, to and including April 5, 1968.

3. The Referee erred in that the conclusion of law is contrary to law.

4. Presented herewith is a copy of the Government's Memorandum of Law, and a copy of Trustee's Memorandum of Law marked Exhibit "B" and Exhibit "C", respectively.

5. A brief in support of the petition for review will be filed with the Referee within ten days after the Referee's Certificate is filed, as provided by the local rules.

Wherefore, the United States of America prays that said order be reviewed by a judge of this court in accordance with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Act; that the order be reversed and that this court enter an order imposing a special fund in trust on the assets of the estate in the amount of $1,075.02 for the benefit of the United States of America.

/s/ Thomas A. Foran
Thomas A. Foran,
United States Attorney.
Room 1500-South, Federal Bldg.
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604
353-5358

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

CERTIFICATE ON PETITION FOR REVIEW
(Filed May 23, 1968)

To The Honorable Chief Judge Campbell
Judge Of The United States District Court,
For The Northern District Of Illinois,
Eastern Division, In Bankruptcy Sitting:

Honorable Sir:

I, Charles B. McCormick, Referee in Bankruptcy, herein, on petition to review an order dated March 5, 1968 do hereby certify and transmit herewith the following:

TRANSMITTED HEREWITH

1. Petition of U. S. District Attorney.
2. Trustee's Answer to Government's Petition.
3. Government's Brief in Reply to Trustee's Answer.
4. Trustee's Brief in Support of Trustee's Answer.
5. Order of March 5, 1968 Denying Government's Petition For a Special Trust Fund.
6. Order of March 8, 1968 Extending Time for Government to File its Petition for Review.
7. Government's Petition For Review.

Neither a transcript of the record nor a summary thereof was provided by the petitioner and its appears that such is not necessary to the determination of this review.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On August 18, 1967 the present bankrupt filed a petition for relief as debtor in possession under Chapter XI of the Bankruptcy Act. On August 24, 1967 orders were entered allowing the debtor to remain in possession and requiring that it file monthly reports, keep separate books and records of its operation as of August 18, 1967 and that it set up separate bank accounts so as to make proper provision for general, payroll and tax matters. The debtor conducted the business until November 22, 1967 at which time it was adjudged a bankrupt.

During the foregoing period of operation the debtor failed to file regular monthly reports and failed to properly set up the bank accounts as ordered with the result that the sum of $1,075.02 claimed by the government to be due for accrued withholding taxes was not deposited in the tax account. What happened to this sum does not appear of record and the consideration of that question is not pertinent to the issues of this review.

Pursuant to order of court the bankrupt's physical assets were sold and the price realized from the sale exceeded by very little the amount of the government's tax claim. The government now seeks to subject these funds to a special trust. It appears that the money realized from the trustee's sale will be the only substantial asset of the estate so that if the government's trust fund theory is upheld there will not be sufficient funds remaining in the estate to meet administrative expenses.

ISSUES TO BE DETERMINED

1. Whether the government is entitled to impress a special trust fund per Section 7501(A) of the Internal Revenue Code for withholding taxes due and owing from a debtor in possession as upon the proceeds realized from trustee's sale of the debtor's (later bankrupt's) physical assets where the debtor, during its period of operation, failed to make proper reserve deposits to secure the government's tax claims.

2. Whether a special trust fund as above detailed can be impressed where the result would be to so deplete the bankrupt estate that expenses of administration cannot be satisfied.

3. Whether the special trust fund detailed by Section 7501(A) of the Internal Revenue Code is entitled to priority over the provisions of Section 64(a) of the Bankruptcy Act which places priority on the payment of all expenses of administration before consideration can be given to tax claims.

THE REFEREE FOUND THAT:

1. In that the debtor failed to set aside the funds representing withholding taxes deducted from employee's wages the government is unable to directly trace the funds upon which it seeks to impress a trust and therefore its petition should be denied. In Hamilton Steel Products, Inc., (64 B. 10712) cited by the government on page 7 of its "Reply to Answer" the tax funds were properly deposited, estate assets were sufficient to meet expenses and there was no contest on the government's application, the order being entered by agreement.

2. The authorities and language set forth in the trustee's "Brief in Support of Trustee's Answer," are deter-

minative of the issue of priority herein and for this reason the government's Petition should be denied.

The issues on review are certified to Your Honor for an opinion thereon.

HEARING ON THIS CERTIFICATE

This certificate to be set down for hearing on Your Honor's Motion calendar.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Charles B. McCormick
Referee in Bankruptcy

Dated: May 23, 1968

[Distribution Omitted]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
(Filed December 11, 1968)

This matter comes before the court on the petition of the government to review the decision of the Referee in Bankruptcy refusing to declare certain funds of the bankrupt a trust for the United States. The funds in question are withholding taxes withheld from wages of the employees of the debtor, but not paid over to the United States.

In its petition for review the government argues that under §7501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. §7501(a)) when one is required to collect taxes from another and to subsequently pay the taxes collected to the government, those monies collected constitute a trust for the benefit of the United States. The Referee found that all priorities in bankruptcy matters should be determined pursuant to §64(a) of the Bankruptcy Act (11 U.S.C. §104) and that the trust fund concept of §7501(a) should not be interpreted to change the scheme of priorities in the Bankruptcy Act. The government's position is supported by a number of court decisions. (City of New York v. Rassner, 2nd Cir., 127 F.2d 703 (1942); United States v. Sampsell, 9th Cir., 193 F.2d 154 (1951); In re Airline-Arista Printing Corp. (S.D.N.Y., 1957) 156 F.Supp. 403.) These decisions, however, have been criticized by a number of more recent authorities. (United States v. Kalishman, 8th Cir., 346 F.2d 514 (1965); In re Connecticut Motor Lines, Inc., 3rd Cir., 336 F.2d 96 (1964); 3A Collier on Bankruptcy §64.02, n27 at p.2070; See also Nicholas v. United States, 384 U.S. 678 (1966)) They have also been expressly and unequivocally rejected

by a recent District Court opinion. (In re Green (D.C., Col, 1967) 264 F.Supp. 849)

For the reasons set forth in these more recent authorities, I find that the order of the referee in bankruptcy here certified for review should be and the same is hereby affirmed.

Enter:

/s/ Campbell
Chief Judge

Dated: December 11, 1968

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
The Northern District of
Illinois, Eastern Division

[Caption Omitted]

NOTICE OF APPEAL
(Filed February 6, 1969)

Notice is hereby given that the United States of America, by Thomas A. Foran, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit from the order affirming the order of the referee in bankruptcy certified for review, entered in this action on December 11, 1968.

/s/ Thomas A. Foran
Thomas A. Foran
United States Attorney
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604
353-5341

Date: February 6, 1969

[Distribution Omitted]

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

[Caption Omitted]

DECEMBER 12, 1969

Before HASTINGS and KNOCH, *Senior Circuit Judges*, and DILLIN, *District Judge*.*

HASTINGS, *Senior Circuit Judge*. The district court affirmed an order of the referee in bankruptcy refusing to declare a trust in favor of the United States for income and social security taxes withheld from employees' wages by the bankrupt while acting as a debtor-in-possession under an arrangement proceeding pursuant to Chapter XI of the Bankruptcy Act, 11 U.S.C.A. § 701, *et seq.* The United States appealed. We affirm.

---

*Judge Dillin is sitting by designation from the Southern District of Indiana.

Halo Metal Products, Inc., filed a petition for an arrangement under Chapter XI on August 18, 1967. On August 20, 1967, orders were entered allowing the debtor to remain in possession and requiring it to file monthly reports, to keep separate books and records of its operation as of August 18, 1967, to set up separate bank accounts for its general, payroll, and tax indebtedness, and to make appropriate disbursements therefrom.

While operating its business as a debtor-in-possession, Halo failed to file regular reports, failed to properly set up the separate bank accounts, failed to deposit in the tax account amounts withheld from employee wages as income and social security taxes, and failed to make payment of such taxes.

On November 22, 1967, Halo was adjudged a bankrupt. Pursuant to court order, its physical assets were sold.

The United States filed a petition in the bankruptcy proceeding for an order imposing a trust in its favor on $1,075.02 of the bankrupt's estate and ordering payment to it of such amount prior to payment of the costs of administration. This amount represents the income and social security taxes withheld by the debtor-in-possession which were not paid over to the United States.

The United States contends such a trust is imposed by Section 7501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, which provides:

> "*General Rule.*—Whenever any person is required to collect or withhold any internal revenue tax from any other person and to pay over such tax to the United States, the amount of tax so collected or withheld shall be held to be a special fund in trust for the United States. The amount of such fund shall be assessed, collected, and paid in the same manner and subject to the same provisions and limitations (including penalties) as are applicable with respect to the taxes from which such fund arose."

It is well settled that, absent such a trust, taxes incurred during a Chapter XI arrangement enjoy only a *pro rata* first priority with all other administrative expenses under Section 64a(1) of the Bankruptcy Act, 11 U.S.C.A. § 10

(a)(1).[1] *See, e.g., Missouri* v. *Earhart*, 8 Cir., 111 F. 2d 992, 995 (1940) and cases cited therein.

The fund created by the sale of the bankrupt's physical assets is the only substantial asset of the estate and exceeds the Government's tax claim by a mere few hundred dollars. It is thus clear that if the Government is given a super priority under its trust fund theory, the claims for other administrative expenses will not be paid.

Three federal courts of appeal have accepted the Government's trust fund theory in cases presenting factual situations essentially identical to that before us.

The Second Circuit's decision in *City of New York* v. *Rassner*, 2 Cir., 127 F. 2d 703 (1942), is the first, and still leading, case supporting the Government's position. It involved city sales taxes and a state statute making sellers trustees of such taxes for the city upon their collection. The court noted that a beneficiary must trace funds where mingling takes place prior to bankruptcy, but held tracing was unnecessary where a debtor-in-possession acting as an officer of the bankruptcy court mingled trust funds. The court reasoned that "if we hold that the city must now trace the funds, we state in effect that any beneficiary of a trust which is handled by an officer of a bankruptcy court must always protect himself by petitioning in advance for proper administration of the trust. Thus stated, it can be seen that we would be condoning improper action by a trustee so long as he could successfully get away with it. As a court of equity, a bankruptcy court can hardly proceed on this assumption. It is the duty of the bankruptcy court in distributing an estate to do so equitably." 127 F. 2d at 706. (Footnote omitted.)

The court there recognized that "of course, an overriding policy of the Bankruptcy Act could prevent pre-

[1] "§ 104. Debts which have priority

"(a) The debts to have priority, in advance of the payment of dividends to creditors, and to be paid in full out of bankrupt estates, and the order of payment, shall be (1) the costs and expenses of administration * * *. Where an order is entered in a proceeding under any chapter of this title directing that bankruptcy be proceeded with, the costs and expenses of administration incurred in the ensuing bankruptcy proceeding * * * shall have priority in advance of payment of the unpaid costs and expenses of administration * * * incurred in the superseded proceeding. * * *"

ferred satisfaction of even trust claims." *Id.* However, it concluded that no such policy was reflected by "the generalities of § 64, subd. a(1)." *Id.*, at 707.

Rassner was followed by the Ninth Circuit in *United States* v. *Sampsell*, 9 Cir., 193 F. 2d 154 (1951), and by the Sixth Circuit in *Hercules Service Parts Corp.* v. *United States*, 6 Cir. 202 F. 2d 938 (1953). Both cases involved federal income and social security withholding taxes and the predecessor statute to Section 7501(a).

The Second Circuit reaffirmed *Rassner* and applied it to federal taxes in In re Airline-Arista Printing Corp., 156 F. Supp. 403 (S.D.N.Y. 1957) aff'd per curiam, 267 F. 2d 333 (1959). It held that the 1952 amendment to Section 64a(1)[2] granting priority to costs and expenses of an ensuing bankruptcy proceeding over costs and expenses of a superseded arrangement did not reflect a new "overriding policy of the Bankruptcy Act" which would compel a reversal of the *Rassner* rule.

With deference and for reasons hereinafter set out, we are unable to follow the *Rassner* line of decisions. We hold that the United States is not entitled to a super priority by virtue of Section 7501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Third Circuit has noted that the tax trust fund created by the first sentence of Section 7501(a) is limited by the second sentence of that section. "Thus, though such collections are considered by law to be held in trust, that trust is subject to the same limitations as are the taxes from which the trust arose. Here, that limitation is the structure of the Bankruptcy Act * * *." *In re Connecticut Motor Lines, Inc.*, 3 Cir., 336 F.2d 96, 107-08 (1964).[3]

The Supreme Court, since the *Rassner* precedent, has recognized that the second sentence of Section 7501(a)

[2] See footnote 1, *supra*. The second quoted sentence was added by the 1952 amendment. 66 Stat. 426.

[3] The central issue decided in *Connecticut Motor Lines* was that income and social security withholding taxes arising from wages earned prior to bankruptcy, but paid during bankruptcy, were not first priority "costs and expenses of administration" within Section 64a(1), but were fourth priority "taxes legally due and owing by the bankrupt" within Section 64a(4). The quoted passage came in response to the Government's argument that because the taxes were a trust fund, the Government need not file a proof of claim as required in fourth priority matters.

may impose bankruptcy-created limitations on the tax trust fund imposed by the first sentence. In *Nicholas* v. *United States,* 384 U.S. 678 (1966), the Court held that the United States was not entitled to post-bankruptcy *interest* on withholding taxes which accrued while the debtor was in possession under Chapter XI, but which were not paid until after the adjudication of bankruptcy. The Court then continued:

> "We find no merit in the Government's alternative suggestion that the interest on two of the taxes here in question—those withheld from the wages of employees and those collected from the patrons of the cabaret—constitutes a trust fund over which the United States has an absolute priority under §7501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. • • • The second sentence of § 7501(a) specifically provides that interest on such a trust fund is collectible in the same manner as the taxes from which the fund arose. Since we have already determined that no interest on any of the taxes here in question accrues beyond the period of the arrangement proceeding, no interest could accumulate on a trust fund composed of the withholding and cabaret taxes." 384 U.S. at 690-91. (Footnotes omitted.)

The District Court of Colorado has applied this reasoning to the identical issue before us. It held "the second sentence of § 7501(a) means that the trust fund mentioned in the first sentence is nevertheless subject to the provisions of § 64(a) of the Bankruptcy Act which establishes a priority for all expenses of administration, including taxes. So construed there is no conflict between §7501(a) and §64(a) • • •." *In Re Green,* 264 F. Supp. 849, 851 (1967).

We are persuaded that this construction is correct. It gives effect to both Congressional enactments. On the one hand, it preserves the tax trust arrangement and thus leaves available to the Government certain favorable collection procedures. The legislative history of Section 7501(a) confirms this was the major goal of its enactment. The Senate Committee Report, S. Rep. No. 558, 73d Cong., 2d Sess., p. 53, noted:

> "Under existing law the liability of the person collecting and withholding the taxes to pay over the amount is merely a debt, and he cannot be treated as a trustee or proceeded against by distraint. Section [7501(a)] * * * impresses the amount of taxes withheld or collected with a trust and makes applicable for the enforcement of the Government's claim the administrative provisions for assessment and collection of taxes."

The Conference Report, H. Conf. Rep. No. 1385, 73d Cong., 2d Sess., p. 32, reflected the same purpose:

> "This amendment impresses taxes collected or withheld with a trust in favor of the United States and makes applicable for the enforcement of the Government's claim the administrative provisions applying to the assessment, collection, and payment of taxes."

On the other hand, this construction makes collection of the trust fund in bankruptcy situations subject to the usual bankruptcy priority rules and thus honors the policy decision of Congress reflected in Section 64 as to the relative merit of various claims upon the bankrupt estate.

But, even if we accept *arguendo* the Government's position that Section 7501(a) is intended to grant trust fund taxes a higher priority in bankruptcy than they are allowed by Section 64a(1) of the Bankruptcy Act, it avails the Government nothing. The Supreme Court has held on a number of occasions that where there is a conflict between the order of priorities set out in the Bankruptcy Act and preferences given to the Government by non-bankruptcy legislation, the bankruptcy priorities should control. *Guarantee Title & Trust Co.* v. *Title Guaranty & Surety Co.*, 224 U.S. 152 (1912); *Davis* v. *Pringle*, 268 U.S. 315 (1925) *Missouri* v. *Ross*, 299 U.S. 72, 76 (1936).[4]

[4] The fact that in both *Guarantee Title* and *Pringle* the Court seems in part to base its decisions on the fact that the bankruptcy priority provision was enacted after the tax preference provision and changed the previous priority scheme in no way detracts from their precedential value for the instant case. Section 7501(a) is derived unchanged from an Act of May 10, 1934, c. 277, § 607, 48 Stat. 708. Present Section 64a(1) derives from the 1938 Chandler Act and represents a clear departure from pre-Chandler Act practice in reducing all costs of administration — including taxes — to a parity in the priority scheme. *See United States* v. *Kalishman*, 8 Cir., 346 F. 2d 514, 517 (1965), and 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2051-52.

See also United States v. *Key*, 7 Cir., 407 F. 2d 635, 638 (1969) and *United States* v. *Kalishman*, 8 Cir., 346 F.2d 514, 517 (1965).

As above noted, *Nicholas, supra,* held that bankruptcy law overrides the Section 7501(a) trust fund as to the *interest* on withholding taxes attributable to pre-bankruptcy operations by a Chapter XI debtor-in-possession. The Court expressly did not reach the question now before us concerning the *principal* of such taxes. However, its use of the following language indicates the relevance of *Guarantee Title* to that question:

> "We need not here determine whether, with regard to the *principal* of those taxes, the general language of § 7501(a) overrides the strong policy of § 64a(1) of the Bankruptcy Act, which establishes a sharply defined priority that places all expenses of administration on a parity, including claims for taxes. Cf. *Guarantee Co.* v. *Title Guaranty Co.*, 224 U.S. 152; *Davis* v. *Pringle*, 268 U.S. 315; *Missouri* v. *Ross*, 299 U.S. 72."[5] 384 U.S. at 691.

Our reading of *Nicholas* affords no support for the *Rassner* conclusion that there exists no strong bankruptcy policy to override the preference the Government finds created by Section 7501(a). *See also United States* v. *Kalishman, supra* at 520; *In re Connecticut Motor Lines, Inc., supra* at 107-08; and 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2070, n. 27.

We find such a strong policy in the very enactment of Section 64a(1). In enacting that subsection, Congress clearly rejected earlier priority schemes giving some administrative expenses higher priorities than others and

[5] It should be noted that the Court may have implicitly decided the reserved issue in an earlier portion of its opinion when it said: "[T]axes incurred during the arrangement period are * * * technically a part of the first priority under § 64a(1). The final sentence of that section, however, subordinates arrangement expenses within that priority to the expenses of the superseding bankruptcy administration. Tax claims incurred during Chapter XI proceedings are therefore in fact junior to claims for expenses incurred in subsequent bankruptcy proceedings." 384 U.S. at 687-88. Under the Government's theory the arrangement tax claims take priority over *all* claims for administrative expenses arising out of the bankruptcy proceeding. The Second Circuit specifically so held in *Airline-Arista*, 267 F. 2d at 334, in affirming on the opinion of the learned District Judge, 156 F. Supp. at 407.

provided that all such claims should share equally on a first priority basis. The statute gives no hint of any Congressional intent to continue the more favorable treatment of certain administrative expenses. *See* 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2070 n. 27 at 2071.

Further indication of an overriding bankruptcy policy against the trust fund preference sought by the Government may be gained from a study of two parallel lines of statutory development, one continually reducing and limiting the priority to be accorded taxes and the other regularly according a more and more favorable priority to administrative expenses. *See In Re Connecticut Motor Lines, supra* at 102-03, and 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2046-109 and 2149-59. It is clear that if the trust fund theory is accepted, taxes will be paid at the expense of administrative costs. Such a result would be patently contrary to the strong and continuing Congressional policy evidenced by these statutory developments.

Until 1926 "taxes legally due and owing" were given first priority status above all else. *See* 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2046-48 and 2155-56, and Bankruptcy Acts of 1800, 1841, 1867 and 1898. In that year, taxes were reduced to sixth priority behind, among other things, administrative expenses. Act of May 27, 1926, 44 Stat. 662. This priority was retained in the Chandler Act of 1938.[8] Act of June 22, 1938, 52 Stat. 883. However, that Act further reduced the preference accorded tax claims by making them subject for the first time to the general limitation period for filing claims. 11 U.S.C.A. § 93(n).[9]

On the basis of these amendments, the Supreme Court further reduced the special treatment given taxes by holding they were no longer entitled to a special exemption from the general prohibition against post-bankruptcy in-

[8] Consolidation of some prior categories, however, resulted in taxes being numbered as the fourth priority in the 1938 Act.

[9] "§ 93 Proof and allowance of claims

* * *

"(n) Except as otherwise provided in this title, all claims provable under this title, *including all claims of the United States and of any State or any subdivision thereof*, shall be proved and filed in the manner provided in this section. Claims which are not filed within six months after the first date set for the first meeting of creditors shall not be allowed * * *." (Emphasis added.)

terest on claims against the estate. *City of New York* v. *Saper*, 336 U.S. 328 (1949).

The legislative assault continued with a 1966 amendment to Section 64a(4), 11 U.S.C.A. § 104(a)(4), which limited the fourth priority to "taxes • • • legally due and owing • • • *which are not released by a discharge in bankruptcy* • • •." Act of July 5, 1966, 80 Stat. 271 (Emphasis added.) The same legislation amended Section 17a(1), 11 U.S.C.A. § 35(a)(1), to make non-dischargeable only those taxes which became due and owing within three years prior to bankruptcy with certain exceptions.[8] The explanation of these amendments given in the House Report is particularly illustrative of the growing Congressional disfavor with the preferred treatment given tax claims under the Bankruptcy Act. Excerpts are set out in the margin.[9]

---

[8] "§ 35. Debts not affected by a discharge

"(a) A discharge in bankruptcy shall release a bankrupt from all of his provable debts, whether allowable in full or in part, except such as (1) are taxes which became legally due and owing by the bankrupt to the United States or to any State or any subdivision thereof within three years preceding bankruptcy [with certain exceptions]."

One of the exceptions covers taxes "collected or withheld from others • • • but not paid over." This is an exception from a limitation on claims for pre-bankruptcy taxes which the Government must be able to trace in order to recover. However, we cannot find in this any support for the Government's position as to post-bankruptcy taxes which it seeks to recover not as taxes but as an administrative expense and which it is unable to trace into any property remaining in the bankrupt's estate.

[9] "[T]here is no time limit under present law on the priority accorded taxes.

"The result has frequently been that tax collectors, assured of a prior claim on the assets of a failing debtor and assured of the nondischargeability of uncollectible tax claims, have allowed taxes to accumulate and remain upaid for long periods of time. With the proliferation of new taxes and the increased rates of old taxes, often little or nothing is left for distribution to general creditors who provided goods and services to the bankrupt.

"The committee has received hundreds of letters from business firms all over the country complaining about this situation. Although a creditor can protect himself to some degree by requiring periodic financial statements from the bankrupt, there are cases in which the true extent of tax liability may not be known, even to the debtor, as where there are unsettled accounting or legal questions. Nor is a creditor protected from a dishonest debtor who issues a false statement of his tax liability. While this may result in barring the debtor's discharge, the Government still has a tax priority which may be large enough to preclude the creditor's participation

In sharp contrast to this continual downgrading of tax claims has been the equally pronounced trend toward insuring the payment of administrative expenses.

Until 1926 claims for administrative expenses had been subordinate to tax claims. *See* 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2046-48 and 2155-56, and Bankruptcy Acts of 1800, 1841, 1867 and 1898. In that year, they were placed ahead of taxes in the priority scheme. Act of May 27, 1926, 44 Stat. 662. However, the trustee's "costs and administrative expenses" were still subordinate to claims of the referee and of creditors for costs of preserving or recovering assets. *See* 3A Collier on Bankruptcy 2051-52. The 1938 Act included "costs and expenses of administration" in the first category of priorities so that they would have a *pro rata* first priority claim to the estate along with the two items that had preceded them prior to 1938. Act of June 22, 1938, 52 Stat. 883. In 1952 the Act was amended to give priority to administrative expenses of an ensuing bankruptcy proceeding over unpaid administrative expenses of a superseded proceeding. Act of July 7, 1952, 66 Stat. 426. This amendment clearly illustrates Congressional reasoning in preferring administrative expenses to all others. As stated in the Senate Report:

> "Unless provision is made for payment of the costs and expenses necessary to liquidate, administer and close the estate in the ensuing bankruptcy proceeding, ahead of all prior incurrred and unpaid administration costs and expenses, there is always danger of a breakdown of administration. There should be assurance to the trustee in the ensuing proceeding that the costs and expenses incurred by him, such as bond premiums, insurance premiums, costs of conducting a public sale and compensation for his services and for the services of his attorney, will be

---

* (Continued)

in the distribution of the debtor's assets. *Ultimately, however, the issue would appear to resolve into whether the Government as a creditor should bear part of the economic burden of business failures through the loss of some of its tax claims* which it has allowed to accumulate over a long period of years.

* * *

"The unlimited priority now enjoyed by taxes in bankruptcy proceedings in the United States is inconsistent with the practice in most commercial countries." H.R. Rep. No. 687, 89th Cong., 1st Sess. (1965). (Emphasis added.)

paid out of the assets liquida ted and administered by him ahead of the prior unpai d costs and expenses." Sen. Rep. No. 1395 on S. 2234, 82d Cong., 2d Sess., p. 4 (1952).

These statutory developments ind dicate both a growing recognition in the Congress of the inequity of extending to the Government extraordinary p preferences over other creditors when a taxpayer-debtor experiences financial failure and also a growing realiza tion of the fact that sound bankruptcy administration fo r the benefit of cred itors and Government alike depend s upon insuring pay ment of the expenses necessarily in curred in such admin istration.

The Section 7501(a) trust fund t heory advanced by the Government would subvert both of these strong bank ruptcy policies. For that reason, we hold that even if Section 7501(a) is construed to create the super priority urged by the Government, it is overridden by the strong policies evidenced in Section 64(a) of the Bankruptcy Act.

In sum, we hold that when Section 7501(a) of the In ternal Revenue Code and Section 64a(1) of the Bank ruptcy Act are construed in light of the underlying poli cies, they do not conflict. The trust fund created by the former statute is, by the terms of that statute, subject to the limitations imposed by the l atter statute. Further, we hold that even if we accept the Government's theory that Section 7501(a) creates a pre ference for post-bank ruptcy tax claims higher than th at accorded them by Section 64a(1), that preference is ov erridden by the strong bankruptcy policies reflected in S ection 64 and in the legislative development of that statu te to its present form. We find such a result consistent v ith the treatment by the Supreme Court of analogous q uestions in such cases as *Guarantee Title*, *Pringle*, *Ross* a nd *Nicholas*.

For the foregoing reasons, the m emorandum order of the district court appealed from he rein is affirmed.

AFFIRMED.

A true Copy:
Teste:

.....
Clerk of the U.....
Appeals for ited States Court of
he Seventh Circuit.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Chicago, Illinois 60604

Friday, December 12, 1969

[Caption omitted]

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is ordered and adjudged by this court that the memorandum order of the said District Court in this cause appealed from be, and the same is hereby, AFFIRMED, with costs, in accordance with the opinion of this Court filed this day.

Supreme Court of the United States

No. —

October Term, 1969

[Caption Omitted]

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

UPON CONSIDERATION of the application of counsel for petitioner(s),

IT IS ORDERED that the time for filing a petition for writ of certiorari in the above-entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, extended to and including April 11, 1970.

(S) THURGOOD MARSHALL,
Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Dated this 4th day of March, 1970.

Supreme Court of the United States

No. 125

October Term, 1970

[Caption Omitted]

Filed October 12, 1970

ORDER ALLOWING CERTIORARI

The petition herein for a writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit is granted.

○